# Remarking An Analisation Title Code: UPBIL04788 Vol-1 \* Issue- VI\* July - 2016

Invariant Submanifold of  $\tilde{\psi}^{(3,2,1)}$  Structure Manifold

### Abstract

In this paper, we have studied various properties of a  $\tilde{\psi}(3,2,1)$  structure manifold and its invariant submanifold. Under two different assumptions, the nature of induced structure  $\psi$ , has also been discussed.

Keywords: Invariant submanifold, Nijenhuis tensor, projection operators and complementary distributions.

## Introduction

Let  $V^n$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  m-dimensional Riemannian manifold imbedded in a  $C^{\infty}$  n-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M^n$ , where m < n. The imbedding being denoted by

 $f: V^m \longrightarrow M^n$  Let B be the mapping induced by fi.e. B=df $df: T(V) \longrightarrow T(M)$ 

Let T(V,M) be the set of all vectors tangent to the submanifold f(V). It is well known that  $B: T(V) \longrightarrow T(V,M)$ 

Is an isomorphism. The set of all vectors normal to f(V) forms a vector bundle over f(V), which we shall denote by N(V, M). We call N(V, M) the normal bundle of  $V^m$ . The vector bundle induced by f from N(V, M) is denoted by N(V). We denote by  $C:N(V) \longrightarrow N(V, M)$  the natural isomorphism and by  $\eta_s^r(V)$  the space of all  $C^\infty$  tensor fields of type (r, s) associated with N (V). Thus  $\zeta_0^0(V) = \eta_0^0(V)$  is the space of all  $C^\infty$  functions defined on  $V^m$  while an element of  $\eta_0^1(V)$  is a  $C^\infty$  vector field normal to  $V^m$  and an element of  $\zeta_0^1(V)$  is a  $C^\infty$  vector field tangential to  $V^m$ .

Let  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  be vector fields defined along f(V) and  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$ be the local extensions of  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  respectively. Then  $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$  is a vector field tangential to M' and its restriction  $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]/f(V)$  to f(V) is determined independently of the choice of these local extension  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$ . Thus  $[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]$  is defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{X}, \overline{Y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y} \end{bmatrix} / f(V)$$
Since B is an isomorphism
$$\begin{bmatrix} BX, BY \end{bmatrix} = B \begin{bmatrix} X, Y \end{bmatrix}_{\text{for all}} \quad X, Y \in \zeta_0^1(V)$$
(1.2)

Let G be the Riemannain metric tensor of  $M^{\text{n}},$  we define g and  $g^{\star}$  on  $V^{\text{m}}$  and N(V) respectively as

$$g(X_1, X_2) = G(BX_1, BX_2) f,$$
  

$$g^*(N_1, N_2) = \tilde{G}(CN_1, CN_2)$$
(1.3)



Lakhan Singh Assistant Professor, Deptt.of Mathematics, D.J. College, Baraut, Baghpat

P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344

#### E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817 For all

v01-1 155ue

 $X_1, X_2 \in \zeta_0^1(V)$  and  $N_1, N_2 \in \eta_0^1(V)$ 

It can be verified that g and  $g^*$  are the induced metrics on  $V^n$  and N(V) respectively.

Let  $\tilde{\nabla}$  be the Riemannian connection determined by  $\tilde{G}$  in  $M^n$ , then  $\tilde{\nabla}$  induces a connection  $\nabla$  in f(V) defined by

 $\nabla_{\bar{X}} \overline{Y} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \widetilde{Y} / f(V)$ (1.5)

where  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  are arbitrary  $C^{\infty}$  vector fields defined along f(V) and tangential to f(V).

Let us suppose that  $M^n$  is a  $C^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}(3,2,1)$  structure manifold with structure tensor  $\tilde{\psi}$  of type (1,1) satisfying

$$\tilde{\psi}^3 + \tilde{\psi}^2 + \tilde{\psi} = 0 \tag{1.6}$$

Let L and  $ilde{oldsymbol{M}}$  be the complementary distributions corresponding to the projection operators

$$\tilde{l} = \tilde{\psi}^3, \qquad \tilde{m} = I - \tilde{\psi}^3$$
(1.7)

where I denotes the identity operator. From (1.6) and (1.7), we have

(a) 
$$\tilde{l} + \tilde{m} = I$$
 (b)  $\tilde{l}^2 = \tilde{l}$   
(c)  $\tilde{m}^2 = \tilde{m}$ 

$$m = m$$

$$(d) \qquad l \ \tilde{m} = \tilde{m} \ l = 0 \tag{1.8}$$

Let  $D_l$  and  $D_m$  be the subspaces inherited by complementary projection operators I and m respectively.

$$D_{l} = \{X \in T_{p}(V): lX = X, mX = 0\}$$
$$D_{m} = \{X \in T_{p}(V): mX = X, lX = 0\}$$
$$Thus T_{p}(V) = D_{l} + D_{m}$$
$$Also \quad Ker \ l = \{X: lX = 0\} = D_{m}$$
$$Ker \ m = \{X: mX = 0\} = D_{l}$$
$$at \ each \ point \ p \ of \ f(V).$$

Invariant Submanifold of  $\tilde{\psi}\left(3,2,1
ight)$  Structure Manifold

We call  $V^m$  to be invariant submanifold of  $M^n$  if the tangent space  $T^p(f(V))$  of f(V) is invariant by the linear mapping  $\tilde{\psi}$  at each point p of f(V). Thus

 $\widetilde{\psi}BX = B\psi X$ , for all  $X \in \zeta_0^1(V)$ , and  $\psi$  being a (1,1) tensor field in  $V^m$ . (2.1)

Theorem (2.1)

Let  $ilde{N}$  and N be the Nijenhuis tensors determined by  $ilde{\psi}$  and  $\psi$  in  $M^n$  and  $V^m$  respectively, then

$$N(BX, BY) = BN(X,Y),$$
  
for all  $X, Y \in \zeta_0^1(V)$  (2.2)  
**Proof**  
We have, by using (1.2) and (2.1)  
(2.3)  $\tilde{N}(BX, BY) = [\tilde{\psi}BX, \tilde{\psi}BY] + \tilde{\psi}^2[BX, BY]$   
 $-\tilde{\psi}[\tilde{\psi}BX, BY] - \tilde{\psi}[BX, \tilde{\psi}BY]$ 

$$= [B\psi X, B\psi Y] + \tilde{\psi}^{2}B[X, Y]$$
$$-\tilde{\psi}[B\psi X, BY] - \tilde{\psi}[BX, B\psi Y]$$
$$= B[\psi X, \psi Y] + B\psi^{2}[X, Y] - \tilde{\psi}B[\psi X, Y]$$
$$-\tilde{\psi}B[X, \psi Y]$$
$$= B\{[\psi X, \psi Y] + \psi^{2}[X, Y] - \psi[\psi X, Y]$$
$$-\psi[X, \psi Y]\}$$
$$= B N(X, Y)$$

Distribution  $\hat{M}$  Never Being Tangential To f(V)

Theorem (3.1)

if the distribution  $\tilde{M}$  is never tangential to f(V), then  $\tilde{m}(BX) = 0$  for all

$$X \in \zeta_0^1 \left( V \right) \tag{3.1}$$

and the induced structure  ${oldsymbol {\mathcal V}}$  on  $V^m$  satisfies

$$\psi^2 + \psi + I = 0$$
. Thus  $\psi$  is (2,1,0) (3.2)  
**Proof**

if possible  $\tilde{m}(BX) \neq 0$ . From (2.1) We get  $\tilde{\psi}^2 BX = B\psi^2 X;_{(3.3)}$  from (1.7) and (3.3)  $\tilde{m}(BX) = (I - \tilde{\psi}^3) BX$  $= (I + \tilde{\psi} + \tilde{\psi}^2) BX$ 

$$= BX + B\psi X + B\psi^{2} X$$

$$\tilde{m}(BX) = B(X + \psi X + \psi^{2}X)$$
(3.4)

This relation shows that  $\tilde{m}(BX)$  is tangential to f(V) which contradicts the hypothesis. Thus  $\tilde{m}(BX)$ = 0. Using this result in (3.4) and remembering that *B* is an isomorphism, We get

$$\psi^2 + \psi + I = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

Theorem (3.2)

Let  $ilde{oldsymbol{M}}$  be never tangential to fig(Vig), then

P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344

E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

# Remarking An Analisation Title Code: UPBIL04788 Vol-1 \* Issue- VI\* July - 2016

$$\tilde{N}(BX, BY) = 0$$

(3.6)

(3.8)

Proof

We have  

$$\tilde{N}_{\tilde{m}}(BX, BY) = [\tilde{m}BX, \tilde{m}BY] + \tilde{m}^2[BX, BY]$$
  
 $-\tilde{m}[\tilde{m}BX, BY] - \tilde{m}[BX, \tilde{m}BY]_{(3.7)}$   
Using (1.2), (1.8) (c) and (3.1), we get (3.6).  
Theorem (3.3)

Let M be never tangential to f(V), then

 $\tilde{N}_{\tilde{I}}(BX,BY)=0$ 

# Proof

We have  

$$\tilde{N}_{\tilde{i}}(BX, BY) = \left[\tilde{l} BX, \tilde{l} BY\right] + \tilde{l}^{2} \left[BX, BY\right] - \tilde{l} \left[\tilde{l} BX, BY\right]$$

$$-\tilde{l} \left[BX, \tilde{l} BY\right]$$
(3.9)

Using (1.2), (1.8) (a), (b) and (3.1) in (3.9); we get (3.8)

Theoren (3.4)

Let  $oldsymbol{M}$  be never tangential to f(V). Define

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H}\left(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}\right) &= \tilde{N}\left(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}\right) - \tilde{N}\left(\tilde{m}\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}\right) - \tilde{N}\left(\tilde{X},\tilde{m}\tilde{Y}\right) \\ &\quad (3.10) \\ &\quad + \tilde{N}\left(\tilde{m}\tilde{X},\tilde{m}\tilde{Y}\right) \\ &\quad \text{For all } \tilde{X},\tilde{Y} \in \zeta_0^1\left(M\right), \text{ then} \\ \tilde{H}\left(BX,BY\right) &= BN\left(X,Y\right) \\ &\quad (3.11) \\ \\ \textbf{Proof} \\ &\quad \text{Using } \tilde{X} = BX, \ \tilde{Y} = BY \quad \text{and} \quad (2.2), \\ (3.1) \text{ in } (3.10) \text{ We get } (3.11). \\ \\ \textbf{Distribution } \tilde{M} \quad \textbf{Always Being Tangential To} \\ &\quad f\left(V\right) \\ \\ \textbf{Theorem (4.1)} \\ &\quad \text{Let } \tilde{M} \quad \text{be always tangential to} \quad f\left(V\right), \\ \\ \text{then} \\ &\quad (a) \ \tilde{m}\left(BX\right) = Bm X \\ &\quad (b) \quad \tilde{\ell}\left(BX\right) = Bl X \\ \\ &\quad (4.1) \\ \\ \textbf{Proof} \\ &\quad \text{from (3.4), We get (4.1) (a). Also} \end{split}$$

$$l = \psi^3 \tag{4.2}$$

$$lX = \psi^3 X \tag{4.3}$$

 $BlX = B \psi^{3} X$ Using (2.1) in (4.3)  $BlX = \tilde{\psi}^{3} BX = \tilde{l} (BX),$ (4.4) which is (4.1) (b).

Theorem (4.2)

Let  $\tilde{M}$  be always tangential to f(V), then I and m satisfy

(a) l + m = l (b) lm = ml = 0 (c)  $l^2 = l$  (d)  $m^2 = m$ . (4.5) **Proof** Using (1.8) and (4.1) We get the results.

Theorem (4.3)

If  $oldsymbol{M}$  is always tangential to f(V), then

$$\psi^{3} + \psi^{2} + \psi = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

**Proof** From (2.1)  
$$\tilde{\psi}^{3} BX = B \psi^{3} X$$
 (4.7)  
Using (1.6) in (4.7)

$$\left(-\tilde{\psi}^2-\tilde{\psi}\right)BX=B\,\psi^3\,X$$

$$-B\psi^2 X - B\psi X = B\psi^3 X$$

Or 
$$\psi^3 + \psi^2 + \psi = 0$$
 which is (4.6)

Theorem (4.4)

If  $\tilde{M}$  is always tangential to f(V) then as in (3.10)

$$\widetilde{H}(BX,BY) = BH(X,Y)$$
(4.7)

from (3.10) we get

Proof

$$\tilde{H}(BX,BY) = \tilde{N}(BX,BY) - \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}BX,BY) - \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}BX,\tilde{m}BY) + \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}BX,\tilde{m}BY)$$

Using (4.1) (a) and (2.2) in (4.9) we get (4.8). References

- A Bejancu: on semi-invariant submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifold. An Stiint Univ., "A.I.I. Cuza" Lasi Sec. Ia Mat. (Supplement) 1981, 17-21.
- B. Prasad : Semi-invariant submanifolds of a Lorentzian Para-sasakian manifold, Bull Malaysian Math. Soc. (Second Series) 21 (1988), 21-26.
- F. Careres : Linear invairant of Riemannian product manifold Math Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 91 (1982), 99 -106.
- Endo Hiroshi: on invariant submanifolds of connect metric, manifolds Indian J. Pure Appl. Math22 (6) (June-1991), 449-453.
- H.B. Pandey & A. Kumar : Anti-invariant submanifold of almost para contact manifold. Prog. of Maths Volume 21(1): 1987.
- K. Yano:On a structure defined by a tensor field f of the type (1,1) satisfying f<sup>3</sup>+f=0. Tensor N.S., 14 (1963), 99-109.
- 7. R. Nivas & S. Yadav :On CR-structures and  $F_{\lambda}(2\nu + 3, 2)$  HSU structure satisfying  $F^{2\nu+3} + \lambda^r F^2 = 0$ ,Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXXVII M, No. 4, 645 (2012).